

**RULES  
OF  
THE TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
CONSTRUCTION DIVISION**

**CHAPTER 1680-5-4  
PROCEDURES FOR THE SELECTION AND AWARD  
OF DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACTS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1680-5-4-.01	Purpose	1680-5-4-.06	Description of the Scope of Service
1680-5-4-.02	Definitions	1680-5-4-.07	Request for Qualifications
1680-5-4-.03	Overview of Design-Build Selection Process	1680-5-4-.08	Request for Proposals
1680-5-4-.04	Design-Build Review Committee	1680-5-4-.09	Review of Technical and Price Proposals
1680-5-4-.05	Advertisement of Design-Build Projects	1680-5-4-.10	Award Process
		1680-5-4-.11	Criteria for Providing a Stipend

**1680-5-4-.01 PURPOSE.**

The purpose of these rules is to establish procedures and criteria for the solicitation, selection, and award of design-build contracts as authorized in Chapter 274 of the Public Acts of 2007, Tennessee Code Annotated § 54-1-119.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

**1680-5-4-.02 DEFINITIONS.**

The following terms, as used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings unless the context thereof indicates to the contrary:

- (1) "Adjusted low bid" means a form of best value selection in which qualitative aspects are scored on a 0 to 100 scale expressed as a decimal; price is then divided by the qualitative score or calculated by a modified version of this formula as stated within the RFP to yield an "adjusted bid". The best evaluated design-builder is the one with the lowest adjusted bid.
- (2) "Alternate technical concept (ATC)" means an alternative to the base technical concept which promotes innovation and is equal or better in quality or effect, as determined by the Department in its sole discretion, and which has successfully been used elsewhere under comparable circumstances.
- (3) "Base technical concept (BTC)" is the project information provided in the RFP upon which design-builders will develop their technical and price proposals, and such project information may include without limitation roadway alignment, preliminary designs, interchange configurations, etc.
- (4) "Best and final offer" is a change to a design-builder's technical and/or price proposal made at the request of, or as allowed by, the Department within a best and final offer RFP after the solicitation closing date when all price proposals exceed an acceptable range of the Department's estimate.
- (5) "Best and final offer RFP" is a revised RFP issued to all eligible design-builders that may make minor changes to the scope and/or contract requirements to allow design-builders to revise their technical and/or price proposals.

(Rule 1680-5-4-.02, continued)

- (6) "Best value selection" means any selection process in which proposals contain both price and qualitative components and award is based upon a combination of price and qualitative considerations.
- (7) "CFR" means the Code of Federal Regulations.
- (8) "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Transportation.
- (9) "Department" means the Tennessee Department of Transportation.
- (10) "Design-build" means a project delivery method that combines all or some portions of the design and construction phases of a project – including without limitation design, right-of-way acquisition, regulatory permit approvals, utility relocation, and construction – into a single contract.
- (11) "Design-build contract" means an agreement that provides for the design and construction of a project – which without limitation may include design, right-of-way acquisition, regulatory permit approvals, utility relocation, and construction – into a single contract.
- (12) "Design-Build Review Committee (DBRC)" means the committee designated by the Department to review and evaluate statements of qualifications and/or technical and price proposals, as provided elsewhere in these rules, for the purpose of selecting a design-builder for a design-build contract.
- (13) "Design-builder" means any entity or joint venture contractually responsible for delivering the project design and construction, or, as the context may require, any entity or joint venture that responds to a Department solicitation for a design-build contract.
- (14) "Final design" means any design activities following preliminary design and expressly includes the preparation of final construction plans and detailed specifications for the performance of construction work.
- (15) "Fixed price/best design" means a variation of design-build using a best value selection process in which contract price is established by the Department and stated in the request for proposals document. Design solutions and other qualitative factors are evaluated and rated. The best evaluated design-builder is the one offering the best qualitative proposal for the established price.
- (16) "Lowest price-technically acceptable" means a type of modified design-build selection process in which the Department identifies evaluation factors that establish the minimum requirements of acceptability. Proposals are evaluated for acceptability based on qualitative factors (not cost or price) but are not ranked. The award will be made on the basis of the lowest evaluated price of proposals meeting or exceeding the acceptability standards for qualitative factors. Evaluated prices may include provisions such as cost-plus-time bidding, lane rental or other cost-based provisions.
- (17) "Modified design-build" means a variation of design-build in which the Department furnishes design-builders with partially complete plans. The design-builder's role is generally limited to the completion of the design and construction of the project.
- (18) "NEPA" means the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

(Rule 1680-5-4-.02, continued)

- (19) "Organizational conflict of interest" means that because of other activities or relationships with other persons or entities, a person or entity is unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice to the Department or the person's or entity's objectivity in performing the contract work is or might be otherwise impaired, or a person or entity has an unfair competitive advantage.
- (20) "Preliminary design" defines the general project location and design concepts. It includes, but is not limited to, preliminary engineering and other activities and analyses, such as environmental assessments, topographic surveys, metes and bounds surveys, geotechnical investigations, hydrologic analyses, hydraulic analyses, utility engineering, traffic studies, financial plans, revenue estimates, hazardous materials assessments, general estimates of the types and quantities of materials, and other work needed to establish parameters for the final design.
- (21) "Prequalification" means the Department's process for determining whether a design-builder, or any member thereof, is fundamentally qualified to compete for a particular project or class of projects. The prequalification process is separate from short listing as elsewhere provided for in these rules.
- (22) "Price proposal" means the price submitted by a design-builder to provide the required design services and construction described in the RFP.
- (23) "Request for proposals (RFP)" means the document issued by the Department in a single-phase process or the second phase of a two-phase selection process that describes the procurement process, forms the basis for the design-builders' proposals, and may potentially become an element of the design-build contract.
- (24) "Request for qualifications (RFQ)" means the document issued by the Department in the first phase of a two-phase selection process that describes the project in enough detail to let potential design-builders determine if they wish to compete and forms the basis for identifying (i.e., short listing) the most qualified design-builders.
- (25) "Short listing" or "short-listed" means the narrowing of the field of potential design-builders through the selection of the most qualified design-builders who have responded to an RFQ.
- (26) "Single-phase selection process" means a procurement process where price and/or technical proposals are submitted in response to an RFP without an RFQ or short listing.
- (27) "Standard Specifications" means the Department's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.
- (28) "Statement of qualifications (SOQ)" means the document(s) submitted by a design-builder in response to an RFQ that describes the qualifications and capability of the design-builder to perform the scope of services to be included in the design-build contract. For each design-builder, the SOQ must include, at a minimum, documentation that the design-builder is capable of performing the scope of services of the project.
- (29) "Stipend" means a monetary amount that may be paid to unsuccessful design-builders who have submitted responsive proposals in response to an RFP.

(Rule 1680-5-4-.02, continued)

- (30) "Technical proposal" means that portion of a design-build proposal which contains design solutions and other qualitative factors that are provided in response to an RFP.
- (31) "Tennessee Environmental Evaluation Report (TEER)" means the environmental evaluation process, similar to the NEPA process, which may be conducted by or for the Department, in accordance with such procedures as the Department may establish, on projects without federal-aid funding or other major federal action where NEPA does not apply.
- (32) "Two-phase selection process" means a procurement process in which the first phase consists of short listing based on statements of qualifications submitted in response to an RFQ and the second phase consists of the submission of price and technical proposals in response to an RFP.
- (33) "Weighted criteria process" means a form of best value selection in which maximum point values are pre-established for qualitative and price components. The best evaluated design-builder is the one with the highest total points earned.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

#### **1680-5-4-.03 OVERVIEW OF DESIGN-BUILD SELECTION PROCESS.**

The following steps outline the typical sequence of actions in a two-phase selection process for the award of a design-build contract; provided, however, that some steps may be omitted or condensed in a single-phase selection process or in a modified design-build process:

- (1) Selection of a Design-Build Review Committee;
- (2) Advertisement of the proposed design-build project (request for qualifications);
- (3) Submission of statements of qualifications;
- (4) Determination of the most qualified design-builders (short listing);
- (5) Issuance of the request for proposals;
- (6) Submission of technical proposals and price proposals;
- (7) Evaluation of technical proposals;
- (8) Opening of price proposals;
- (9) Issuance of a best and final RFP (if any);
- (10) Submission of best and final offers (if any);
- (11) Determination of the best evaluated design-builder in accordance with the identified selection method;
- (12) Recommendation for action by the Design-Build Review Committee; and
- (13) Award of a design-build contract, or rejection of all proposals, by the Commissioner.

(Rule 1680-5-4-.03, continued)

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

**1680-5-4-.04 DESIGN-BUILD REVIEW COMMITTEE.**

- (1) For each proposed design-build project, the Department will designate a Design-Build Review Committee (DBRC).
- (2) The members of the DBRC shall include at least three (3) Department employees from various Departmental divisions who are qualified by education and experience and at least two of whom shall be licensed professional engineers. Additional engineering and technical experts may be selected by the Department to serve as DBRC committee members on a project-by-project basis.
- (3) It shall be the responsibility of the DBRC to implement the design-build selection process, including the following:
  - (a) Write or oversee the writing of the RFQ and/or RFP for the proposed design-build project, including the selection method to be used and a description of the scope of services to be obtained;
  - (b) Determine the criteria for the award of a stipend, if any, to responsive but unsuccessful design-builders.
  - (c) Advertise the proposed design-build project or cause it to be advertised;
  - (d) Review and evaluate the statements of qualifications submitted in response to an RFQ;
  - (e) Determine which design-builders shall be deemed qualified or short-listed for further consideration in accordance with the selection method identified in the RFQ or RFP;
  - (f) Review and evaluate technical proposals submitted by qualified or short-listed design-builders in accordance with the identified selection method;
  - (g) Open and read price proposals from eligible and responsive design-builders, or cause such to be opened and read;
  - (h) Determine whether the price proposals are within an acceptable range of the Department's cost estimate and, if not, determine whether to proceed with a best and final RFP and, if so, to review and evaluate best and final offers from eligible and responsive design-builders;
  - (i) Determine the apparent best evaluated design-builder in accordance with the identified selection method; and
  - (j) Recommend to the Commissioner either:
    1. The rejection of all proposals;
    2. The award of a design-build contract to the best evaluated design-builder; or

(Rule 1680-5-4-.04, continued)

3. If the DBRC determines after opening the price proposals that the apparent best evaluated design-builder should be considered non-responsive, or if, after award, the best evaluated design-builder declines the award and forfeits the proposal guaranty, the DBRC may recommend award of the contract to the next best evaluated design-builder.
- (4) The DBRC shall have the authority to discontinue the design-build selection process at any time prior to the opening of price proposals, subject to any applicable obligation to pay a stipend to eligible and responsive design-builders.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

#### **1680-5-4-.05 ADVERTISEMENT OF DESIGN-BUILD PROJECTS.**

- (1) Advertisement of the RFQ and/or RFP for design-build projects shall be published, at a minimum, through the Internet by posting on the Department's website. The announcement for a design-build project or projects will be made by the Department in sufficient time for design-builders to submit statements of qualifications or other responses solicited in the RFQ or RFP.
- (2) The Department's RFQ shall outline the tentative general scope, description, location, and anticipated procurement schedule for each proposed design-build project.
- (3) The Department will establish and determine the appropriate selection method on a project-by-project basis (e.g., adjusted low bid, fixed price/best design, weighted criteria process, lowest price-technically acceptable, etc.). This selection method will be stated in the RFP, and in the RFQ in a two-phase selection process.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

#### **1680-5-4 -.06 DESCRIPTION OF THE SCOPE OF SERVICE.**

- (1) A design-build project shall be designed and constructed as described in the RFP. Through the RFP, the design-builder's specific scope of work will be defined in detail on a project-by-project basis.
- (2) A tentative general scope of work will be described as part of the RFQ in a two-phase selection process.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

#### **1680-5-4-.07 REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS.**

- (1) The RFQ (or the RFP in a single-phase selection process) will contain all prequalification requirements for the proposed design-build project. The Department will evaluate the scope of the design-build project and will list the required prequalification categories for the design-build contract.
- (2) Through the RFQ (or the RFP in a single-phase selection process), the Department shall establish criteria for the evaluation of statements of qualifications on a project-

(Rule 1680-5-4-.07, continued)

by-project basis. The RFQ shall include information on the procedures that apply for consideration of a proposal.

- (3) The criteria for evaluation of qualifications may include, without limitation:
  - (a) Technical qualifications, such as specialized experience and technical competence, including key personnel;
  - (b) Capability to perform, including the availability of key personnel;
  - (c) Past performance by members of the design-builder's team, including design and construction members;
  - (d) Other appropriate qualifications-based selection factors.
- (4) A letter of interest shall be submitted as a cover letter to the design-builder's SOQ submittal package. The SOQ shall address all stated criteria in the RFQ in order to be considered complete and responsive.
- (5) The RFQ (or the RFP in a single-phase selection process) will address potential organizational conflicts of interest.
  - (a) The design-builder must disclose all relevant facts concerning any past, present, or currently planned interests which may present an organizational conflict of interest, including without limitation as follows:
    1. Any current contractual relationships between the design-builder or any other person or entity participating in the design-builder's team (including identification of the Department contract number and project manager and/or project supervisor);
    2. Any present or planned contractual or employment relationships between the design-builder, or any other person or entity participating in the design-builder's team, and any current Department employee;
    3. Any current relationships between any other person or entity participating in the design-builder's team with any other member of the design-builder team on any other Department project (including identification of the Department contract number and project manager and/or project supervisor);
    4. Any other circumstances that might be considered to create a financial interest in the contract for the project by any current Department employee if the design-builder is awarded the contract.
  - (b) With respect to each of the foregoing disclosures, the design-builder must identify, mitigate, or eliminate apparent or actual organizational conflicts of interest, as well as state how its interests or the interests of those participating as members of the design-builder's team may result in, or could be viewed as, an organizational conflict of interest.
  - (c) As a general rule, no person or entity that assisted the Department in preparing the RFP will be allowed to participate as a design-builder or as a member of the design-builder's team; provided, however, the Department may determine that there is not an organizational conflict of interest where:

(Rule 1680-5-4-.07, continued)

1. The role of the person or entity was limited to provision of preliminary design, reports, or similar "low-level" documents that may be incorporated into the RFP but did not include assistance in the development of instructions to design-builders or evaluation criteria, or where
  2. All documents and reports delivered to the Department by the person or entity are made available to all potential design-builders.
- (d) If a person or entity has participated in preparing the NEPA or TEER document for the proposed design-build project, such person or entity shall not be allowed to respond to the RFQ and/or RFP for that project if:
1. The NEPA or TEER process is not complete prior to the date on which the proposed design-build project is advertised; or
  2. The person or entity has any continuing decision-making responsibilities with respect to the NEPA or TEER process for the proposed design-build project after the date on which the proposed project is advertised.
- (e) If at any time during the selection process or during the contract period a previously undetermined organizational conflict of interest arises, the design-builder must disclose that information as soon as discovered.
- (6) The Department will review all SOQs in accordance with the advertised criteria in the solicitation, including at a minimum:
- (a) Any prequalification requirements;
  - (b) All project-specific qualifications necessary to satisfy both the construction and design portions of the scope of services; and
  - (c) Disclosures concerning organizational conflicts of interest.
- (7) Based on the responses provided in the SOQs, the Design-Build Review Committee shall evaluate the qualifications of the design-builders. Based on these evaluations, the Department may either accept all qualified design-builders or short list as appropriate in accordance with the identified selection method and the organizational conflicts of interest provisions established in paragraph (5) of this rule.
- (8) The RFQ may be released prior to the conclusion of the NEPA or TEER review process as long as the RFQ informs design-builders of the general status of the NEPA or TEER process. Prior to completion of the NEPA or TEER review process, any preliminary engineering and other activities and analyses must not materially affect the objective consideration of alternatives in the NEPA or TEER review process.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

**1680-5-4-.08 REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS.**



- (1) The Department will issue a request for proposals (RFP) for the design-build project to the design-builders that are deemed qualified or that are short-listed based on their response to the RFQ.
- (2) The RFP will outline the Department's time allowance for review of all submittals.
- (3) The RFP shall reference any applicable Department construction specifications including Standard Specifications, supplemental specifications or special provisions. The RFP will define the mandatory project scope elements, deliverables and the project schedule.
- (4) The RFP may address any particular processes, traffic control requirements, construction phasing, techniques that need to be specified in order to construct the project satisfactorily, or responsibilities of the design-builder.
- (5) As part of the RFP, and when available, the Department may provide any project-specific documentation, drawings, files, reports, and other pertinent materials which would be of use to the eligible design-builders.
- (6) The RFP will address the base technical concept for the design-build contract.
- (7) The RFP may allow design-builders to submit one or more alternate technical concepts (ATCs) for preapproval by the date specified within the RFP as long as these ATCs do not conflict with criteria agreed upon in the NEPA or TEER environmental decision making process.
  - (a) ATCs will only be considered if they are equal to or better than the base technical concept, as determined by TDOT in its sole discretion, and if they have been used successfully elsewhere under comparable circumstances. Typically, ATCs will improve project quality and/or reduce project costs. TDOT may allow pre-approved ATCs as part of the design-builder's proposal.
  - (b) A proposed ATC is not acceptable if it merely seeks to reduce quantities, performance, or reliability, or seeks a relaxation of the contract requirements. ATCs shall be submitted by the design-builder and pre-approved in writing by the Department. All technical proposals must include the Department's pre-approval letters for consideration of the ATCs.
  - (c) The price proposal shall reflect any incorporated ATCs. Except for incorporating approved ATCs, the proposal may not otherwise contain exceptions to or deviations from the requirements of the RFP.
  - (d) The RFP will not distinguish between a proposal that does not include any ATCs and proposals that include ATCs. Both types of proposals are evaluated against the same technical evaluation factors, and a best value determination is made in the same manner.
  - (e) Each ATC submittal may include the following:
    1. Description;
    2. Usage;
    3. Deviations;

(Rule 1680-5-4-.08, continued)

4. Analysis;
  5. Impacts;
  6. History; or
  7. Risks.
- (f) A design-builder may incorporate one or more pre-approved ATCs into its technical and price proposal. Each design-builder shall submit only one proposal.
- (g) An approved ATC that is incorporated into a design-builder's proposal will become part of the design-build contract upon award of the design-build contract to that design-builder.
- (h) To the extent authorized by law, ATCs properly submitted by a design-builder and all subsequent communications regarding its ATCs will be considered confidential prior to the award of the design-build contract.
- (8) The RFP will address selection and award criteria, which shall include cost, and may include the design-builders' qualifications, time of completion, innovation, design and construction quality and other technical or quality related criteria.
- (9) The RFP will address any other project specific information including, but not limited to:
- (a) Conflict of interest resolution; and
  - (b) Proposal guaranty requirements.
- (10) The RFP may address and identify contract provisions, including but not limited to:
- (a) Risk allocation according to the type and location of the project, and the following risk factors may be considered:
    1. Governmental risks;
    2. Regulatory compliance risks;
    3. Construction phase risks;
    4. Post-construction risks; and
    5. Right-of-way risks;
  - (b) Payment and performance bonds;
  - (c) Post-construction warranties;
  - (d) General and professional liability insurance;
  - (e) Meetings regarding the preconstruction services;

(Rule 1680-5-4-.08, continued)

- (f) The Department's standards, rules, guidelines, and special provisions requirements;
  - (g) Environmental regulatory requirements, including whether the Department or the design-builder will acquire any or all of the permits required for construction; and
  - (h) Design and construction requirements, including specifications; and
  - (i) The final documents to be provided by the design-builder upon completion of the project, which may include as-built plans, engineering reports, shop drawings, test results, documentation, daily reports, and item quantities.
- (11) The RFP will outline the terms and conditions of a project stipend (if applicable).

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

**1680-5-4-.09 REVIEW OF TECHNICAL AND PRICE PROPOSALS.**

- (1) Short-listed or otherwise eligible design-builders will each submit a technical proposal and a price proposal, concurrently, for the project. The technical proposal and price proposal shall be submitted to the Department in separate sealed envelopes marked in strict accordance with the requirements and timeline contained in the RFP, or as it may be amended. Price proposals will be kept in a secure location until read publicly.
- (2) On the date provided in the RFP, the DBRC will open, review, and score or otherwise evaluate the technical proposals and any other required technical information in accordance with the evaluation criteria established in the RFP. As part of the evaluation of technical proposals, the DBRC may require each design-builder to make an oral presentation and submit to an interview.
- (3) After evaluating the technical proposals, and on the date and at the time specified in the RFP, or as it may be amended, the Department will publicly open and read the total proposed contract amount in the price proposal submitted by each eligible and responsive design-builder.
- (4) The DBRC may request that an eligible design-builder provide the support information used to develop its price proposal. This information may include, but is not limited to, design assumptions, summary of quantities, mobilization assumptions, and construction staging assumptions.
- (5) In the event all price proposals submitted exceed an acceptable range of the Department's cost estimate for the project, the Department, at its discretion, may determine whether to proceed to request a best and final offer.
- (6) If a best and final offer is requested, the Department will issue a best and final offer RFP to the eligible design-builders. The best and final offer RFP may or may not include minor changes in the scope or contract requirements of the original RFP.
- (7) Based upon the proposal from each design-builder and the appropriate selection method as specified in the RFP, or the best and final offer RFP, if applicable, the DBRC will determine the best evaluated design-builder.

(Rule 1680-5-4-.09, continued)

- (8) The Department shall have the authority to discontinue the design-build selection process at any time prior to the opening of price proposals, subject to any applicable obligation to pay a stipend to eligible and responsive design-builders.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

**1680-5-4-.10 AWARD PROCESS.**

- (1) The DBRC will forward the apparent best evaluated design-builder to the Commissioner with a recommendation either to:
  - (a) Reject all proposals; or
  - (b) Award a design-build contract to the best evaluated design-builder; or
  - (c) Provided, however, if the DBRC determines after opening the price proposals that the apparent best evaluated design-builder should be considered non-responsive, or if after award the best evaluated design-builder declines the award and forfeits the proposal guaranty, the DBRC may recommend award of the contract to the next best evaluated design-builder.
- (2) Upon receiving the DBRC's recommendation, the Commissioner may either:
  - (a) Reject all proposals; or
  - (b) Award a design-build contract to the best evaluated design-builder; or
  - (c) If the DRBC determines after opening the price proposals that the apparent best evaluated design-builder should be considered non-responsive, or if the best evaluated design-builder declines the Commissioner's award, the Commissioner may award the contract to the next best evaluated design-builder.
- (3) After the design-build contract has been awarded, the Department will publish the technical scores and adjusted prices on the Department's website.
- (4) All short-listed design-builders will be afforded the opportunity for a debriefing with the Department regarding the relative merits of their technical proposals and price proposals.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.

**1680-5-4-.11 CRITERIA FOR PROVIDING A STIPEND.**

- (1) At the discretion of the Department, a stipend may be paid to the eligible design-builders who submit responsive but unsuccessful proposals in response to the RFP. The decision to do so shall be based on the Department's analysis of the estimated proposal development costs, the complexity of the project, and the anticipated degree of competition during the procurement process. The purpose of a stipend is to encourage competition by offering to compensate responsive but unsuccessful design-builders for a portion of the estimated proposal development costs.
- (2) The terms and conditions for stipends shall be included in the RFP.

(Rule 1680-5-4-.11, continued)

- (3) If a stipend is provided to an unsuccessful design-builder, the work produced within that design-builder's proposal for the project shall be provided to the Department for its use in connection with the contract awarded for the project, or in connection with a subsequent procurement, without obligation to pay any additional compensation to the unsuccessful design-builder.
- (4) Design-builders submitting a response to the RFQ who are considered non-responsive or unqualified, or who are not short-listed by the Design-Build Review Committee, will not be eligible for a stipend.

**Authority:** T.C.A. §§ 54-1-119 and 4-3-2303. **Administrative History:** Original rule filed April 16, 2008; effective June 30, 2008.